Cotton based cropping systems in Maharashtra: Economic analysis and future needs

R.T.GAHUKAR*

Consultant, Plot 220, Reshimbag, Nagpur- 440 009 *E-mail: rtgahukar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Experiments on cotton based cropping patterns were conducted during 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 crop seasons at farmers' fields in Zari taluka of Yavatmal district (Maharashtra). In both years, monoculture of cotton was more or less equally profitable compared to intercropping with pigeon pea and with addition of mix crops of green gram, pearl millet, maize and sesame. Net profit was highest (Rs. 25400/ ha) with intercropping in 2009-2010 and with mix cropping (Rs. 46625) in 2010-2011. These findings having bearing on economy and family livelihood should be considered while recommending cropping pattern to farmers especially those having small and medium size of land holdings.

Key words: Cereals, cotton, family livelihood, inter/mix cropping, profitability, pulses, sesame

In global scenario, India has the largest area under cotton in the world and ranks third in production with average yield of 300-400 kg/ ha against the world average of 580 kg/ha (Singh and Grover, 2014). Maharashtra state occupies 39.4 m ha with 12.2 per cent area in Yavatmal district where productivity of seed cotton is 150-200 kg/ha. Currently, Zari taluka in Yavatmal district is known for its quality fibre (medium long staple of 25-32 mm) because of suitable soil (medium and deep clay black soil), adequate annual rainfall (900-1100 mm) and easy availability of hybrid seed of high yield potential. After the introduction of *Bt* cotton in the state in general, a sizable area under cotton is converted to oilseeds and pulses (Sabesh et al., 2014). However, there is no change in cotton cultivation practices in Zari taluka.

Currently, farmers' suicide rate in the district is high. Main reasons for the unfortunate incidents are crop failure, indebtness/loan, high farm input costs, low Minimum Support Price (MSP) fixed by the government, volatility in market price, private purchasing by traders/ brokers at low rates, lack of credit facilities, inadequate irrigation and poor extension activities (Gahukar, 2010). During the era of green revolution in the late sixties, intensive farming including monoculture of cotton became popular because of high crop productivity and superior fibre quality (staple length >30 mm). Growing cotton on same land for years however, resulted in a comparative decline in productivity. On the contrary, other systems of sustainable agriculture have supported rural livelihood efficiently and economically. In fact, intercropping and mix cropping are old traditional ways of farming in India and are being employed by farmers. Moreover, these patterns have been recommended by government institutions (Singh et al., 2009) because of certain advantages such as, reliable crop productivity, enhanced nutrient use efficiency and microbial activity, insurance against the risk of crop failure due to erratic rainfall or drought and low requirement of farm inputs (Lithourgidis et al., 2011). Considering food habits and economic status of local communities in Yavatmal district, it was

necessary to suggest profitable system in cotton zone. Experimentation was therefore undertaken on cotton based cropping systems with an objective to assess economic parameters and suggest improvement in the currently adopted agricultural practices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Zari taluka, nine villages from the area under the project "Convergence of Agricultural Practices in Maharashtra" were selected randomly during 2009-2010 and 2010-2011. In each village, farmers were guided about cropping patterns, planting and crop management. Farmers showing interest in the project were finally selected. At seedling stage, 3 cotton fields (each of 1 ha) were selected for experiments. The crops included cotton as sole crop with square planting system (90 x 90 cm) with single plant/hill, intercropped with pigeon pea (30 cm distance between 2 hills; 2 plants/hill; 6 rows of cotton followed by 2 rows of pigeon pea). Green gram, sesame, maize and pearl millet were broadcast at random in rows of pigeon pea. The crop varieties were selected by concerned farmers as per their farming experience, marketing facilities and selling rates, need of food and money. Recommended cultural operations were followed and harvesting/picking was done whenever necessary (depending upon family needs and marketing). There were 3 replications of 9 treatments in 2009 and first 4 treatments in 2010 as follows.

- T1= cotton,
- T2= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2),
- T3= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram (mix crop),
- T4= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram + sesame + maize + pearl millet (mix

crops),

- T5= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram + pearl millet (mix crops),
- T6= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram + sesame (mix crops),
- T7= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram + maize + pearl millet (mix crops),
- T8= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram + sesame + pearl millet (mix crops),
- T9= cotton + pigeon pea (intercrop) (6:2) + green gram + sesame + maize (mix crops).

Farm expenses included cost of seed, fertilizers and pesticides, charges for transportation and marketing, cultural operations, plant protection and labour wages for harvesting/picking. For calculating income, prevailing market rates were considered, e.g. cotton: Rs. 3150/q in 2009 and Rs. 4300/q in 2010, pigeon pea: Rs.45/kg in 2009 and Rs. 40/ kg in 2010. For other crops same price was prevailing in both years, *e.g.* green gram: Rs. 30/ kg, sesame: Rs.60/kg, maize; Rs. 25/kg, pearl millet: Rs. 20/kg,

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data presented in Table 1 revealed that among nine treatments in 2009, maximum yield of 14.46 q/ha of seed cotton was obtained from monoculture resulting in maximum profit of Rs.24200/ha followed by cotton- pigeon pea combination (yield of 12.07 q/ha and profit of Rs. 18945/ha). In cotton fields with intercrop and mix crop, profitability varied from Rs.11503 to Rs.16943/ha. In case of pigeon pea, maximum yield of 159 kg/ha was obtained in cotton plot with pigeon pea only. This combination gave a net profit of Rs. 6392/ha. In mixed cropping, net profit from pigeon pea crop varied from Rs. 3294 to 5798, the maximum being in plot with cotton + pigeon pea + green gram. If only mixed crops are compared, the maximum profit of Rs. 1721/ ha was possible with T4 (cotton + pigeon pea + green gram + sesame + maize + pearl millet). Finally, total net profit of Rs. 25400/ha was from intercropping system followed by monoculture (Rs.24200). However, differences in all parameters were not significant. Therefore, from the economic point of view, all systems were equal (Singh *et al.*, 2009).

Compared to 2009, the 2010 season was better for crop growth and development due to regular rains received up to the end of the crop season. This has favoured productivity in all crops. In a comparison of 4 treatments, the cotton yield was highest in monoculture (14.13 q/ha) with consequent significantly greater net profit of Rs. 38363. The intercropping gave lesser profit than mixcropping (Table 2). As expected, maximum profit from pigeon pea (Rs. 7617/ha) was from intercropping pattern and profit from mixcropping was Rs. 3588/ha when green gram was included. The combination of cotton + pigeon pea + green gram + sesame + maize + pearl millet resulted in significantly highest net profit of Rs. 46625/ha (Gahukar, 2010).

In Maharashtra, current recommendations include various cropping patterns, e.g. cotton: pigeon pea (6/12:2), cotton: soybean (6:2), cotton: sorghum: pearl millet: sorghum (6:1:2:1), cotton: green gram/black gram (1:1), cotton: groundnut/maize/cowpea (10:2). But farmers prefer monoculture of cotton as the soil is not ideally suitable for other crops, and crop management is easy though costly. Farmers can sell seed cotton just after crop season and expect higher MSP every year than preceding years. Meanwhile, traders lend money against cotton purchase during the period of distress/hardship. The economic parameters arehardly considered in planning crop cultivation by farming communities. For example, whenever seeds of certain hybrids are not available, farmers are willing to purchase seeds at any cost. The same story is for synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. From our investigation in few villages, we understood that farmers are unaware of alternatives, most probably due to poor extension activity. All these aspects have

Treat-Cotton* Pigeon pea* Other crops Total ment Yield Income Exp. Profit Yield Income Exp. Profit Income Profit Income Profit (q/ha) (Rs/ha) 14.46 45549 21349 24200 T1 _ _ _ _ 45549 24200 45162 25400 **T2** 12 .07 38020 19075 18945 7142 687 6392 159 _ _ тз 11.04 34776 19750 15026 145 6548 700 5798 1604 1204 42928 22078 T4 11.33 35689 20184 15505 125 5642 740 4892 2121 1721 43452 22128 Т5 11.08 34902 19159 15743 127 5737 846 4987 2094 1694 42733 22328 **T6** 11.45 36067 19130 16937 110 4938 773 4188 1975 1575 42980 22677 11.13 35059 18112 4044 705 3294 Т7 16947 90 1516 1116 40619 21402 Т8 10.79 33988 22285 11503 104 4711 711 3961 1550 1150 40249 16653 Т9 10.53 33169 20766 12403 114 5150 718 4400 2043 1643 40362 18478 SEm(+/-) 0.732 2461 331 _ _ NS F test (5%) NS NS _ _ _ _ _ -_ -

Table 1. Yield of seed cotton, expenses incurred and profit earned in 2009-2010 crop season in Zari taluka,
District Yavatmal, Maharashtra.

Expenses include seed purchasing, plant protection, soil fertilization, cultural practices, transportation and marketing. Expenses of other crops = Rs. 400/ha. *Market rate for cotton= Rs. 3150/q and pigeon pea= Rs. 45/kg.

Treat-	Cotton*				Pigeon pea*				Other crops		Total	
ment	Yield	Income	Exp.	Profit	Yield	Income	Exp.	Profit	Income	Profit	Income	Profit
	(Q/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)
T 1	14.13	66759	28396	38363	-	-	-	-	-	-	66759	38390
Т2	12 .60	54180	21269	32911	208	8336	719	7617	-	-	62516	40528
тз	12.39	53277	21209	32068	179	7166	809	6357	3988	3588	64431	42013
T4	11.39	48977	11386	37591	175	6999	795	6204	3230	2830	59206	46625
SEm(+/-)	0.697	-	-	1835	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1750
F test (5%)	NS	-	-	S	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	s

Table 2. Yield of seed cotton, expenses incurred and profit earned in 2010-2011 crop season in Zari taluka, District Yavatmal, Maharashtra.

Expenses include seed purchasing, plant protection, soil fertilization, cultural practices, transportation and marketing. Expenses of other crops= Rs. 400/ha. *Market rate for cotton= Rs. 4300/q, for pigeon pea= Rs. 40/kg.

shown a tremendous impact on family welfare. Sharp rise in prices of farm inputs including labour and *status quo* cotton market rates resulted in farmers' suicides. This is rather unbelievable and unimaginable that cash crop cultivation has resulted in human loss (Sabesh *et al.*, 2011).

From 2 years experimentation, it is concluded that based on net profit, cropping system involving cotton intercropped with pigeon pea and addition of green gram, sesame, maize and pearl milletas mix crops proved significantly profitable. (Lithourgidis et al., 2011). These experiments need to be repeated to confirm these results. However, market rates of pigeon are increasing every year making it most profitable crop compared to cotton alone. Therefore, current recommendation should be modified with more rows of pigeon pea in cotton or growing it as sole crop. Addition of pulses has other advantages for farming community. For example, farmers have experienced better family livelihood because plucking pods of green gram early in the crop season and pods of pigeon pea during later period of crop season, and consuming grains in various traditional recipes helped them in food security. Selling green maize cobs and sesame seeds in local markets

(both being in great demand) fetched them additional money for fulfilling other family needs. From this point of view, there is urgent need to integrate minor food crops in the adopted cropping pattern to support nutritional security and family finance of local communities (Gahukar, 2014).

Currently, cotton is cultivated in the region as sole crop and is intercropped with pigeon pea by a few farmers. Mix cropping is practically non existent. One of the realities is that farmers are habituated to grow cotton as sole crop and do not know the advantages of other crops. In the present investigation, intercropping and mix cropping systems in cotton cultivation were profitable and have supported family livelihood. Therefore, farmers have to change their mind set in order to implement diversified cropping systems.

REFERENCES

- Gahukar, R.T.2010. Improved technology for cotton production in central India: An impact assessment. *Outlook Agric.*,**39**: 203-10.
- **Gahukar, R.T. 2014.**Potential of minor food crops and wild plants for nutritional security in

the developing world. *J. Agric. Food Inform.*,**15** : 342-52.

- Lithourgidis, A.S., Dordas, C.A., Damalas, C.A. and Vlachostergios, D.N. 2011. Annual intercrops: An alternative pathway for sustainable agriculture. *Australian J. Crop Sci.*,5: 396-410.
- Sabesh, M., Ramesh, M., Prakash, A.H. and Bhaskaran, G. 2014. Is there any shift in cropping pattern in Maharashtra after the introduction of *Bt* cotton? *Cotton Res. J.*, 6: 63-70.
- Singh, K.K., Ali, M. and Venkatesh, M.S. 2009.Pulses in cropping systems. Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, UP, India.
- Singh, P. and Grover, D.K. 2014. An economic evaluation of *Bt* cotton cultivation in Punjab. *J. Cotton Res. Dev.*, 28: 170-74.

Received for publication : June 18, 2015 Accepted for publication : January 16, 2016