

Efficacy of seed dressing chemicals against seed and soil borne diseases of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L)

V.V.RAJANI*, D.K. DAVARA AND L.K. DHADUK

Cotton Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh-362 001 *E-mail : vvrajani@jau.in

ABSTRACT : Effect of seed dressing chemicals on cotton seedling stand establishment against soil borne diseases and yield were assessed in field experiment conducted at Cotton Research Station Junagadh Agricultural University Junagadh during 2013, 2014 and 2015. Results of three years pooled data indicated that all fungicidal treatments increased germination as compared to control. Maximum seed germination per cent was recorded to given seed treatment of Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 4.5 g/kg seed against seedling diseases of cotton caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium* sp. The pooled mortality per cent was significantly minimum (5.70%) in treatment Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 4.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS), 5.5g/kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) H thiram (37.5%) DS), 5.5g/kg seed (1712kg/ha) as compared to control (1205 kg/ha). Economical point of view the seed treatment of Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS)(Vitavax power) @ 3.5g/kg seed was found effective in reducing the mortality percent of soil borne diseases and highest CBR (1:261.3) with net return of Rs 21212/ha. The most important variable in these experiments was maximum germination percent so that

Key words: Cotton, seedling, seed dressing chemicals, seedling rot, root rot, wilt

Cotton is an important commercial cash crop of India. It plays a key role in national economy in terms of activities, employment and foreign exchange earnings. Among the different soil borne diseases *viz.*, seedling rot, root rot and wilt are the most serious diseases which occurs more or less in all the cotton growing areas and affect yield and fibre quality (Hussain and Tahir 1993). Hence, for better management of soil borne diseases the present investigation was conducted.

The complex of pathogens associated with cotton seedling diseases, including *Pythium* spp., *Rhizoctonia* solani Kühn (teleomorph: Thanatephorus cucumeris (A.B. Frank) Donk), and Thielaviopsis basicola (Berk. and Broome) Ferraris, confound seedling disease control (DeVay *et al.*, 1989). Generally, appropriate fungicide seed treatments are the most effective control of seedling diseases (Minton, *et al.*, 1986). In California, virtually all cotton seeds are treated with at least 2 fungicides for protection from seedling diseases caused by *Pythium* spp and *R. solani* (Garber *et al.*, 1979). Recently, fungicides have been registered that reduce black root rot caused by *T.basicola*, and many acres are now planted with seeds treated with 3 or more fungicides. Resistance is potentially the most economical method to manage seedling diseases because fungicide seed treatments could then be reduced or eliminated. The control of black root rot with these fungicide seed treatments is limited. Myclobutanil (Butler *et al.*, 1996) and triadimenol (Arthur *et al.*, 1991) have been shown to have some efficacy for the control of black root rot. However, they are generally not used at rates thought to be sufficient to provide significant control.

The present investigation was undertaken at Cotton Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh to study the efficacy of seed dressing chemicals against seed and soil borne diseases of cotton and to suggest the control measures. The experiment was conducted during 2012-2013 to 2014-2015 in replicated trial.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field trial was conducted at Cotton Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh in Randomized block design (RBD) with 10 treatments along with three replications having plot size of 6.30 m x 4.8 m. and variety G.Cot-18 with spacing of 1.20 x 0.45 m from 2013-2015. All the recommended agronomical practices were followed during experimentation.

The incidence of seedling rot, root rot and wilt in each treatment was counted out of total plants assessed and per cent disease incidence (PDI) was worked out by formula given by CICR, Nagpur (1988). The seed cotton yield was recorded from net plot area. Statistical analysis of the observations was carried out.

Details of Treatment	
Treatments (Seed treatment)	g / kg seed
T ₁ Thiram (75% WS)	2
T ₂ Thiram (75% WS)	3
T ₃ Thiram (75% WS)	4
T₄ Carboxin 75% WP	1
T ₅ Carboxin 75% WP	2
T ₆ Carboxin 75% WP	3
T ₇ Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)	2.5
T ₈ Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)	3.5
T ₉ Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)	4.5
T ₁₀ Control	_

Per cent Disease Incidence (PDI)= $\frac{\text{Total no. of plants infected}}{\text{Total no. of plants assessed}} x100$

Acid-delinted cotton seed was coated with fungicides *viz.*, Thiram (75% WS), Carboxin 75% WP, Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 2 to 4.5g kg/seeds to different treatments. These were shaken thoroughly for 5 min and allowed to dry before being planted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The three years pooled data presented in Table 1 revealed that all the fungicidal treatments increased the germination per cent as compared to control. The maximum germination per cent (98.60%) was recorded in seed treatment of Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)@ 4.5g/kg seed, followed by T_7 and T_8 . It indicated that it may be possible to enhance and promote the health and growth of cotton through the application of Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS).

The pooled mortality per cent was significantly minimum (5.70%) in treatment

No Examination 2013-2013 2013-2014 2014-2015 Pooled 2012-2013 2013-2014 \mathbf{T}_{1} Thiram (75% WS) 0 2 g/kg seed 9 3.82 (14.59) 3 44(11.81) 3 56(113.01) 3 50(12.23) 3 54(13.26) 1 93 \mathbf{T}_{2} Thiram (75% WS) 0 3 g/kg seed 9 5.80 3 44(11.81) 3 27(10.67) 3 39(11.53) 1 95 1 75 \mathbf{T}_{2} Thiram (75% WS) 0 3 4 g/(12.27) 3 56(13.54) 3 33(11.00) 2 90 1 75 \mathbf{T}_{2} Carboxin (75% WP) 0 2 g/kg seed 9 55.00 3 30(9.51) 3 33(11.00) 2 933 1 775 \mathbf{T}_{2} Carboxin (75% WP) 0 2 g/kg seed 9 7.22 3 98(9.51) 3 33(11.007) 2 033 1 775 \mathbf{T}_{2} Carboxin (75% WP) 0 3 93(15,43) 3 3 7(10.07) 3 33(11.03) 3 7(79 1 775 \mathbf{T}_{2} Carboxin (75% WP) 0 3 93(12,27) 3 68(13.31) 3 7(10,07) <th>Sr.</th> <th>Treatment details</th> <th>Mean</th> <th></th> <th>Mean mortality per cent</th> <th>ity per cent</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Seed co</th> <th>Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)</th> <th>ha)</th>	Sr.	Treatment details	Mean		Mean mortality per cent	ity per cent			Seed co	Seed cotton yield (kg/ha)	ha)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No	δ	germination (%)	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	Pooled	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	Pooled
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ŗ.		97.02	3.82*(14.59)	3.61(13.01)	3.50(12.23)	3.64(13.26)	1940	1753	843	1512
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\mathbf{H}_{2}	Thiram (75% WS) @3g/kg seed	95.80	3.48(12.11)	3.44(11.81)	3.27(10.67)	3.39(11.52)	1962	1764	904	1543
	T.	Thiram (75% WS) @ 4g/kg seed	95.80	3.05(9.32)	2.98(8.86)	3.27(10.67)	3.10(9.60)	2105	1918	953	1659
	T ₄	Carboxin (75% WP) @ 1g/kg seed	93.25	3.93(15.44)	3.26(10.65)	3.33(11.09)	3.51(12.30)	1951	1775	860	1529
	£°	Carboxin (75% WP) @2g/kg seed	95.60	3.50(12.27)	3.68(13.54)	3.21(10.30)	3.46(12.00)	2083	1797	893	1591
Carboxin $[37.5\%) +$ Thiram $[37.5\%] +$ Thiram $[37.5\%) +$ Thiram $[37.5\%] +$	T,	Carboxin (75% WP) @3g/kg seed	97.02	3.08(9.51)	3.43(11.79)	3.17(10.07)	3.23(10.43)	2039	1835	965	1613
(a) 2.5g/kg sed (a) 2.5g/kg sed 2.77(7.69) 2.88(8.31) 2.29(5.24) 2.65(7.02) 2216 (a) 3.5g/kg sed (a) 3.5g/kg sed (a) 3.5g/kg sed 2.77(7.69) 2.88(8.31) 2.29(5.24) 2.65(7.02) 2216 (a) 3.5g/kg sed (a) 3.5g/kg sed 2.77(7.69) 2.88(8.31) 2.29(5.24) 2.65(7.02) 2249 (a) 3.5g/kg sed (a) $3.5g/kg sed$ 2.17(4.71) 2.39(5.70) 2.249 2249 (a) $4.5g/kg sed$ 93.00 $4.47(19.95)$ $4.15(17.19)$ $4.01(16.05)$ $4.21(17.70)$ 1576 (a) $4.5g/kg sed$ 0.20 0.28 0.11 117.06 109.36 62.20 0.10 0.39 0.59 0.83 0.31 0.03 62.20 0.348 325 $(p) = 0.05$ 0.39 0.59 0.83 0.32 348 325 $(p) = 0.05$ 0.50 0.83 0.32 348 325 $(p) = 0.05$ 0.59 10.44 15.41 10.48 10.56 $(p) = 0.05$ 0.50 0.50 0.56 0.56 5	\mathbf{T}_{7}	Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)	97.22	2.89(8.37)	3.07(9.42)	3.07(9.40)	3.01(9.06)	2050	1841	606	1600
(a) $3.5g/kg$ seed (a) 3.249 (a) 3.00 $4.47(19.95)$ $4.15(17.19)$ $4.01(16.05)$ $4.21(17.70)$ 1576 (a) 1000 (b) 0.20 (b) 0.20 (b) 3.0 $4.47(19.95)$ $4.01(16.05)$ $4.21(17.70)$ 1576 $a \pm 0.13$ (b) 0.20 (b) 2.28 (b) 11 117.06 100.36 6.220 $a \pm 0.13$ (b) 0.20 (b) 3.39 (b) 3.32 3.38 3.25 $(0) 36$ (0.20) (0.39) (0.32) 3.48 3.25 (0.56) (0.66) (0.76) (0.56) (0.56) (0.66) (0.76) (0.56) (0.76) (0.56) (0.56) (0.66) (0.56) (0.66) (0.66) (0.66) (0.56) (0.56) (0.56) (0.56)	F	 (a) 2.5g/kg seed Carbovin (37 5%) + Thiram (37 5% DS) 	07 70	0 7717 601	7 88(8 31)	0 0015 04)	2 6517 021	0016	1025 7	087	1712
Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5%) DS)98.60 $2.58(6.64)$ $2.42(5.84)$ $2.17(4.71)$ $2.39(5.70)$ 2249 $@$ $4.5g/kg seed$ $@$ $4.5g/kg seed$ 93.00 $4.47(19.95)$ $4.15(17.19)$ $4.01(16.05)$ $4.21(17.70)$ 1576 $Control$ 0.20 0.20 0.28 0.11 117.06 109.36 62.20 $n \pm 0.13$ 0.20 0.29 0.28 0.11 117.06 109.36 62.20 $(p=0.05)$ 0.39 0.639 0.83 0.32 348 325 $(\%)$ $(p=0.05)$ 0.39 0.679 10.44 15.41 10.48 10.05 $n \pm$ $(p=0.05)$ 0.39 0.20 0.104 15.41 10.48 10.56 $n \pm$ $(p=0.05)$ 0.20 0.06 $ n \pm$ $(p=0.05)$ 0.20 $ 0.06$ $ n \pm$ $(p=0.05)$ 0.20 $ 0.20$ $ n \pm$ $(p=0.05)$ $ 0.20$ $ -$	80 ¶	(a) 3.5g/kg seed	1		(10.0)00.7			1			
(a) 4.5g/kg seed (a) 4.5g/kg seed Control 93.00 4.47(19.95) 4.15(17.19) 4.01(16.05) 4.21(17.70) 1576 π^{\pm} 0.13 0.20 0.28 0.11 117.06 100.36 6.2.20 π^{\pm} 0.13 0.20 0.28 0.11 117.06 100.36 6.2.20 $(p=0.05)$ 0.39 0.59 0.83 0.32 348 325 $(\%)$ $(p=0.05)$ 0.79 10.44 15.41 10.48 10.05 10.56 π^{\pm} 0.06 $ 0.18$ $ -$	£	Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)	98.60	2.58(6.64)	2.42(5.84)	2.17(4.71)	2.39(5.70)	2249	1973	1042	1754
Control93.00 $4.47(19.95)$ $4.15(17.19)$ $4.01(16.05)$ $4.21(17.70)$ 1576 $a \pm 0.13$ 0.20 0.28 0.11 117.06 109.36 6.20 $(p=0.05)$ 0.39 0.59 0.83 0.32 348 325 $(\%)$ 0.79 10.44 15.41 10.48 10.56 10.56 $a \pm$ $a \pm$ 0.06 $ a \pm$ 0.06 $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ 0.06 $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ 0.06 $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ 0.06 $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $a \pm$ $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $ a \pm$ $a \pm$ $-$ <th></th> <td>@ 4.5g/kg seed</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		@ 4.5g/kg seed									
$a \pm 0.13$ 0.20 0.28 0.11 117.06 109.36 62.20 (p=0.05) 0.39 0.59 0.83 0.32 348 325 (%) 0.39 0.59 0.83 0.32 348 325 (%) 0.79 10.44 15.41 10.48 10.56 $a \pm$ 0.06 - - - - $a \pm$ 0.06 - 0.18 - - $a \pm$ 0.18 - 0.18 - - $a \pm$ 0.20 - 0.18 - - - $a \pm$ 0.20 - 0.18 - - - - $a \pm$ 0.20 -	T	Control	93.00	4.47(19.95)	4.15(17.19)	4.01(16.05)	4.21(17.70)	1576	1356	683	1205
	S.Em	±0.13	0.20	0.28	0.11	117.06	109.36	62.20	57.29		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C.D.	(p=0.05)	0.39	0.59	0.83	0.32	348	325	185	162	
	C.V.	(%)	6.79	10.44	15.41	10.48	10.05	10.56	11.92	10.93	
\pm 0.06 - </td <th>Υ</th> <td></td>	Υ										
(p=0.05) $(200 - 10^{-1})^{-1}$ (p=0.05) $(200 - 10^{-1})^{-1$	S.Em	±į.			0.06	I	I	I	31.38		
± 0.20	C.D.	(p=0.05)				0.18	I	I	I	89.02	
0.20	$Y \ge T$										
NS -	S.Em	ť			0.20	I	I	I	99.23		
	C.D.	(p=0.05)				NS	I	I	I	NS	

299

Table 1. Effect of seed dressing chemicals on germination per cent, mortality per cent and seed cotton yield of cotton (pooled)

Seed dressing chemicals

reat	Treatment	Yield	Additional	Quantity	Expen	Expenditure	Total	Net	CBR
		increased	income	of	(Cos	(Cost) Rs	expenditure	return	
		over control	(Rs)	fungicide	Material	Labor	(Rs)	(Rs)	
		(kg/ha)	cost/ha		g/ha				
		7	ю	4	ъ	9	7	ø	6
	Thiram (75% WS) @ 2 g/kg seed	307	12894	80	3.60	50	53.60	12840	1:240.5
- 0	Thiram (75% WS) @3g/kg seed	338	14196	12	5.40	50	55.40	14141	1:256.2
- 0	Thiram (75% WS) @ 4g/kg seed	454	19068	16	7.20	50	57.20	19011	1:333.3
- 4	Carboxin (75% WP)@ 1g/kg seed	324	13608	4	10.40	50	60.40	13547	1:225.3
- 10	Carboxin (75% WP) $@2g/kg$ seed	386	16212	8	20.80	50	70.80	16141	1:228.9
. 0	Carboxin (75% WP) @3g/kg seed	408	17136	12	31.20	50	81.20	17055	1:211.0
	Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 2.5g/kg seed	395	16590	10	22.50	50	72.50	16517	1:228.8
. 00	Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 3.5g/kg seed	507	21294	14	31.50	50	81.50	21212	1:261.2
- 0	Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 4.5g/kg seed	549	23058	18	40.50	50	90.50	22967	1:254.7
9	Control	I	ļ		I		I		
.	Price of seed cotton: Rs 42/kg	4. Thiram (75% WS) : Rs 45/100g	S) : Rs 45/	100g					
5	Labor charge for seed treatment/ha : Rs 50/ 4kg seed	5. Carboxin (75% WP) (Vitavax): Rs 1300 /500g	VP) (Vitavax): Rs 1300 /	500g				
ю.	Picking charge: Rs 110/20 kg seed cotton	6. Vitavax power: Rs 225/100g	ss 225/100§	b0					

Table 2. Statement showing Economics of various seed treatment for controlling soil borne diseases of cotton (2014-2015)

Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 4.5g/ kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)@ 3.5g/kg seed (7.02%). Maximum (17.70%) mortality per cent was recorded in control.

Significantly maximum seed cotton yield of 1754 kg/ha was recorded in treatment of Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)@ 4.5g/ kg seed, followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)@ 3.5g/kg seed (1712kg/ha) and Thiram 75% WS@ 4g/kg seed (1659kg/ha). The minimum of 1205 kg/ha seed cotton yield was recorded in control. The results obtained in this study are in the agreement with those of some previous studies by Wang and Davis (1997) and Tomar and Shastry (2006).

The economics of data of various seed treatments are presented in Table 2. The seed treatment of Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) (Vitavax power) @ 4.5g /kg seed gave highest net returns (Rs 22967 / ha) followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS)) @ 3.5g/kg (Rs 21212/ha. While considering the cost benefit ratio (CBR), the maximum CBR was obtained in seed treatment of Carboxin (37.5%)+Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 3.5g /kg (1:261.2) followed by Carboxin (37.5%) + Thiram (37.5% DS) @ 4.5g / kg seed(1:254.7).

CONCLUSION

The farmers of south Saurashtra are advised to treat the cotton seeds with a ready mixture of carboxin (37.5%) + thiram (37.5%) DS) @ 3.5 g/kg seeds before sowing for economical and effective control of wilt and root rot complex and to improve seed cotton yield.

REFERENCES

- Arthur, K.S., Hairston W.G. and Graham, C.T. 1991. Utilizing triadimenol as aco-fungicide to enhance disease suppression of *Rhizoctonia* and *Thielaviopsis* in cotton stand establishment. In proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference of National Cotton Council, Memphis, Tenn, pp. 169-72.
- Butler, L. D., Lawrence D. and Becton, M. 1996.
 A safe new seed treatment fungicide for the control of cotton seedling disease caused by *Thielaviopsis basicola* and *Rhizoctonia solani*.
 In proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference of National Cotton Council, Memphis, Tenn, pp. 268-69.
- DeVay, J.E. El-Zik K.M. Bourland F.M. Garber
 R. H. Kappleman, A.M. Lyda, S. D.
 Minton, E. B. Roberts P. A. and Wallace
 T.P. 1989. Integrated Pest Management
 System and Cotton Production. In: strategies and tactics for managing plant pathogens and nematodes R. E. Frisbie, K. M.El-Zik and L.
 T. Wilson (Eds) John Wiley andsons, Inc., New York, pp. 225-66.
- Minton, E.B., Garber R. H. Bird, L.S., DeVay, J.E., and Evrard, T. 1986. Effects of fungicide seed treatments that control specific pathogens on stand and yield of cotton. In proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference of National Cotton Council, Memphis, Tenn, pp. 20.
- Garber, R. H. DeVay J.E., Weinhold A. R. and Matheron D. 1979. Relationship of pathogen inoculum to cotton seedling disease control with fungicides. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 63: 246-50.

Heydari A and A Gharedaghli 2007. Integrated Pest Management on Cotton in Asia and North Africa.

INCANA Press, Tehran, Iran.

- Tomar, D. S. and P.P. Shastry 2006. Efficacy of fungicides against recovery of *Myrothecium roridum* in cotton seed, *Intl. J. Agric. Sci.* 2 : 408-10.
- Wang, H. and Davis, R. M. 1997. Susceptibility of selected cotton cultivars to seedling disease pathogens and benefits of chemical seed treatments. *Plant Dis.* 81 : 1085-88.

Received for publication : November 16, 2018 Accepted for publication : Februrary 19, 2019