

Effect of organic sources of nutrients application on productivity and economics of cotton

R. VEERAPUTHIRAN AND R. VIMALA

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Cotton Research Station, Srivilliputtur - 626 135 E-mail : veeraagri@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT : Field experiments were carried out at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Cotton Research Station, Srivilliputtur during August to January 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 to study the effect of various organic materials on growth, yield and economics of cotton variety SVPR 4 under irrigated conditions. The experiments were conducted in randomized block design with three replications. Eleven treatments consisted of an absolute control (T₁ No organic and inorganic), control (T₂ - RDN through inorganic), Recommended dose of nutrient through organic based on P equivalent basis (T_3) , Seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers with foliar application of PPFM (T_a), Neem cake application at 250 kg/ha (T_c), Raising of sunnhemp and incorporation before flowering (T_{c}), In situ – incorporation of green gram (T_{τ}), Combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM and neem cake (T_8) , Combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM and sunnhemp incorporation (T_0), Combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM, neem cake and sunnhemp incorporation (T_{10}) , Combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio-fertilizers, PPFM, neem cake and intercropping with green gram (T_{11}) . The results revealed that taller plants, higher monopodia, sympodia, bolls / m^2 and boll weight were associated with the application of recommended dose of inorganic fertilizers but this was comparable with all other organic applied treatments and significantly higher than absolute control. Though the application of inorganic fertilizers recorded the highest seed cotton yield (1790 kg/ha in 2017-2018 and 1875 in 2018-2019), its effect was on par with that of combined practice of seed treatment, neem cake application, sunnhemp incorporation, soil application of bio fertilizer and foliar application of PPFM (1701 and 1748 kg/ha during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019, respectively) and also combined practice of seed treatment, sunnhemp incorporation, soil application of biofertilizer, foliar application of PPFM and intercropping of green gram during both the years of study. The economic analysis revealed that higher gross income and net income were associated with inorganic fertilizer application followed by combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM, neem cake and sunnhemp incorporation.

Key words : Cotton, economics, organic nutrients, seed cotton yield

Cotton also known as **"White Gold"** and **"King of Fibre Crops"** is an important fibre cum cash crop in India and Tamil Nadu as well. In Tamil Nadu, cotton is cultivated in an area of 1.42 lakh ha during 2017-2018 with a production 2.80 lakh bales and productivity of 599 kg/ ha which is below the world average yield of 788 kg/ ha (Anonymous, 2017). The targeted productivity with high yielding varieties and modem agriculture technologies, besides exploitation of limited natural resources, have added new dimensions to the problems for

maintaining soil fertility and sustaining the productivity. The ever increasing and escalating price of inorganic fertilizers lead to the use of organic manure as supplement. Inorganic fertilizers have contributed to environmental damage such as nitrate pollution and hence, legumes grown in intercropping are regarded as an alternative and sustainable way of introducing nitrogen into lower input agro ecosystems. Efficient production packages of cotton using different organic materials explore the avenues for realizing the potential of organic cotton yields. With these back ground, the present study was undertaken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were carried out at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Cotton Research Station, Srivilliputtur during August to January 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 to study the effect of various organic materials on growth, yield and economics of cotton variety SVPR 4 under irrigated conditions. The experiments were conducted in randomized block design with three replications. The total eleven treatments consisted of an absolute control (T₁ No organic and inorganic), control (T_{2-} - RDN through inorganic), Recommended dose of nutrient through organic based on P equivalent basis (T_3) , Seed treatment and soil application of biofertilizers with foliar application of PPFM at 30, 60, and 90 DAS (T_{4}), Neem cake application at 250 kg/ha (T_5), Raising of sunnhemp and incorporation before flowering (T₆), Intercropping with green gram (T_{τ}) , Combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM and *neem* cake (T_8) , Combination of seed

treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM and sunnhemp incorporation (T_{o}) , Combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM, neem cake and sunnhemp incorporation (T_{10}) , Combination of seed treatment and soil application of biofertilizers, PPFM, neem cake and intercropping with green gram (T_{11}) . The cotton variety SVPR 4 and green gram variety CO 8 for intercropping were used for the study. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam with a pH of 8.13. The available nutrient N, P and K status of the soil was low (162 kg/ha, high (60 and high (511 kg/ha), respectively. kg/ha) The organic farming treatments were imposed as per schedule. The bio fertilizer azophos was used at 200 g for seeds/ha and 2.0 kg / ha as soil application. The recommended dose of nutrient through organic based on P equivalent basis was applied as farm yard manure (FYM) and it was calculated as 20 t/ha. A blanket dose of 80:40:40 kg/ NPK /ha was applied for inorganic treatment. The total nutrients or doses applied in all the treatments are not same and the sources are different. The statistical method as proposed by Gomez and Gomez (2010) was used to analyse the data. The biometric observation on plant height, yield attributes and seed cotton yield were recorded and economics were also worked out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth attributes : The results on the effect of organics application on growth attributes of cotton are furnished in Table 1. The results revealed that all the organic applied treatments produced taller plants which was comparable with

| Treatments | Plant | height | Monop | odia/ |
|--|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| | (c: | m) | pla | nt |
| | 2017- | 2018- | 2017- | 2018- |
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| T ₁ | 104.9 | 100.6 | 1.07 | 1.11 |
| T ₂ | 126.8 | 122.3 | 1.45 | 1.48 |
| T ₃ | 116.7 | 111.9 | 1.22 | 1.26 |
| T ₄ | 113.0 | 109.0 | 1.11 | 1.15 |
| T ₅ | 113.5 | 109.5 | 1.16 | 1.19 |
| T ₆ | 114.5 | 110.1 | 1.17 | 1.21 |
| T ₇ | 112.7 | 108.2 | 1.09 | 1.13 |
| $T_8 - T_4 + T_5$ | 117.4 | 112.7 | 1.26 | 1.21 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{9} - \mathbf{T}_{4} + \mathbf{T}_{6}$ | 120.0 | 116.0 | 1.31 | 1.27 |
| $T_{10} - T_4 + T_5 + T_6$ | 124.5 | 120.3 | 1.39 | 1.33 |
| $T_{11} - T_4 + T_5 + T_7$ | 122.3 | 118.4 | 1.35 | 1.31 |
| SEd. | 7.51 | 7.32 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| CD (p=0.05) | 16.97 | 16.54 | 0.27 | 0.29 |

 Table 1. Effect of organic nutrients application on growth attributes of cotton

application of recommended dose of inorganic fertilizers (T₂) and significantly higher than absolute control (no organic and inorganic - T₁. Similarly higher monoodia / plant was also observed with seed treatment and soil application of bio-fertilizers + foliar application of PPFM + raising of sunnhemp and incorporation before flowering (T_{q}) seed treatment and soil application of bio-fertilizers + foliar application of PPFM + neem cake 250 kg/ha + sunnhemp incorporation (T_6) seed treatment and soil application of bio-fertilizers + foliar application of PPFM + neem cake 250 kg/ha + intercropping with green gram (T_{10}) , seed treatment and soil application of bio-fertilizers + foliar application of PPFM + neem cake 250 kg/ha (T_{10}) and recommended dose of nutrient through organic based on P equivalent basis (T_2) , and this was on par with inorganic fertilizer application (T_2) . The effect of the organic treatments T_{9} , T_{10} and T_{11} were comparable and significantly

superior than absolute control (no organic and inorganic - T_1) in the production of taller plants and more monopodia during both the years of study. Significant superiority of *in situ* – incorporation of sunnhemp and green gram intercropping on cotton was reported by Solaimalai *et al.*, (2019).

Yield attributes : Various organic materials exhibited significant influence on all the yield attributes during both the years of study except on boll weight during 2017-2018 (Table 2). The treatments $\rm T_{2-}, \rm T_{10}$ and $\rm T_{11}$ recorded higher monopodia, sympodia/plant and bolls / m² which were significantly higher than control (T_1) . The boll weight was also the highest with chemical fertilizer application which was comparable with all other organic applied treatments and significantly higher than absolute control during 2018-2019. Significantly higher bolls/plant under cotton pulses intercropping was observed by Amit and Angadi (2017) is in favour of this study. In situ green manuring resulted in increased bolls production as compared to sole cotton under rain-fed condition was reported by Solaimalai et al., (2019). Similar results of higher yield attributes with FYM (Hulihalli and Patil, 2017) and vernicompost application (Solunke, 2011) were also in accordance with the present study.

Seed cotton yield : The results revealed that the different organic and inorganics application exerted significant effect on seed cotton yield (Table. 2). Though the application of inorganic fertilizers recorded the highest seed cotton yield (1790 kg/ha in 2017-2018 and 1875 in 2018-2019), its effect was *on par* with that of

| ų |
|----------------------|
| cottor |
| of co |
| yield |
| and |
| l attributes a |
| yielc |
| on j |
| application |
| : nutrients applicat |
| t organic |
| Effect |
| сi |
| Table 2 |

| Treatments | Sympo | Sympodia/plant | Bolls | $Bolls/m^2$ | Boll we | Boll weight (g) | Seed cotton | otton |
|--|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | yield (kg/ha) | sg/ha) |
| | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{_{1}}$ | 13.02 | 13.50 | 64.32 | 66.31 | 3.28 | 3.51 | 1222 | 1318 |
| \mathbf{T}_2 | 17.53 | 17.94 | 79.33 | 82.27 | 3.71 | 4.25 | 1790 | 1875 |
| \mathbf{T}_{3} | 15.93 | 16.07 | 71.55 | 73.99 | 3.63 | 4.02 | 1496 | 1533 |
| \mathbf{T}_4 | 15.24 | 15.61 | 69.83 | 72.70 | 3.59 | 3.98 | 1336 | 1477 |
| \mathbf{T}_{s} | 14.88 | 15.22 | 68.73 | 71.62 | 3.61 | 4.01 | 1385 | 1492 |
| T | 15.75 | 16.09 | 70.17 | 72.11 | 3.54 | 3.89 | 1416 | 1501 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{_{T}}$ | 14.71 | 15.24 | 68.15 | 71.08 | 3.51 | 3.85 | 1311 | 1447 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{8} - \mathbf{T}_{4} + \mathbf{T}_{5}$ | 16.22 | 16.53 | 72.61 | 74.92 | 3.65 | 4.13 | 1427 | 1579 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{9} - \mathbf{T}_{4} + \mathbf{T}_{6}$ | 16.53 | 16.87 | 73.21 | 79.26 | 3.67 | 4.20 | 1451 | 1618 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{10} - \mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{T}_5 + \mathbf{T}_6$ | 17.11 | 17.40 | 76.28 | 78.97 | 3.70 | 4.24 | 1701 | 1748 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{11} - \mathbf{T}_{4} + \mathbf{T}_{5} + \mathbf{T}_{7}$ | 17.02 | 17.33 | 75.01 | 79.03 | 3.68 | 4.23 | 1627 | 1625 |
| SEd. | 0.81 | 0.85 | 4.25 | 4.31 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 76.2 | 78.3 |
| CD (p=0.05) | 0.86 | 1.91 | 9.73 | 9.65 | NS | 0.52 | 173.3 | 176.9 |

Organic nutrients and productivity

| Treatments | Cos | Cost of | Gross income | ncome | Net ir | Net income | Ben | Benefit |
|--|------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | cultivatio | cultivation(Rs/ ha) | (Rs/ ha) | ha) | (Rs/ | (Rs/ ha) | cost | cost ratio |
| | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 | 2017-2018 | 2018-2019 |
| T | 44200 | 46400 | 56212 | 60628 | 12012 | 14228 | 1.27 | 1.31 |
| \mathbf{T}_2 | 50800 | 52900 | 82340 | 86250 | 31540 | 33350 | 1.62 | 1.63 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{_3}$ | 64200 | 66700 | 68816 | 70518 | 4616 | 3818 | 1.07 | 1.06 |
| \mathbf{T}_4 | 45800 | 48100 | 61456 | 67942 | 15656 | 19842 | 1.34 | 1.41 |
| \mathbf{T}_{s} | 54700 | 56900 | 63710 | 68632 | 9010 | 11732 | 1.16 | 1.21 |
| \mathbf{T}_{s} | 49100 | 52400 | 65136 | 69046 | 16036 | 16646 | 1.33 | 1.32 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{_{T}}$ | 49200 | 52500 | 60306 | 66562 | 11106 | 14062 | 1.23 | 1.27 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{8} - \mathbf{T}_{4} + \mathbf{T}_{5}$ | 54300 | 56700 | 65642 | 72634 | 11342 | 15934 | 1.21 | 1.28 |
| $\mathbf{T}_9 - \mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{T}_6$ | 50100 | 52600 | 66746 | 74888 | 16646 | 22288 | 1.33 | 1.42 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{10} - \mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{T}_5 + \mathbf{T}_6$ | 60600 | 62900 | 78246 | 80408 | 17646 | 17508 | 1.29 | 1.28 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{11} - \mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{T}_5 + \mathbf{T}_7$ | 61300 | 62600 | 74842 | 74750 | 13542 | 12150 | 1.22 | 1.19 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 3. Effect of organic nutrients application on economics of cotton

| Treatments | Common | Inorganic | FYM | ST + | Neem | Sunnhemp | Green | Total |
|--|--------|-------------|-------|---------------|-------|----------|----------|-------|
| | cost | fertilizers | | Soil appln of | cake | | gram | |
| | | | | biofert + | | | incorpo- | |
| | | | | PPFM | | | ration | |
| | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44200 |
| 2 | 44200 | 6600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50800 |
| | 44200 | 0 | 20000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64200 |
| 4 | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45800 |
| 10 | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10500 | 0 | 0 | 54700 |
| 10 | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4900 | 0 | 49100 |
| 7 | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5000 | 49200 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{8} - \mathbf{T}_{4} + \mathbf{T}_{5}$ | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 10500 | 0 | 0 | 54300 |
| $\Gamma_9 - T_4 + T_6$ | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 0 | 4900 | 0 | 50100 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{10} - \mathbf{T}_4 + \mathbf{T}_5 + \mathbf{T}_6$ | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 10500 | 4900 | 0 | 60600 |
| T T. + T. + T. | 44200 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 10500 | 0 | 5000 | 61300 |

Organic nutrients and productivity

combined practice of seed treatment, neem cake application, sunnhemp incorporation, soil application of bio fertilizer and foliar application of PPFM (1701 and 1748 kg/ha during 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 respectively) and also combined practice of seed treatment, sunnhemp incorporation, soil application of bio fertilizer, foliar application of PPFM and intercropping of green gram during both the years of study. These three treatments were significantly superior than all the other treatments except T_3 during both the years of experimentation. Higher yield with in situ green manuring might be due to slow and steady nutrient release because of synergistic effect of organic nitrogen. Yield advantage of green gram intercropping and bio fertilizer application in cotton was documented by Marimuthu and Subbaian (2013). Similar favourable effect due to the application of FYM (Manchala, 2017 et al., and Amit and Angadi, 2017) and vermicompost application (Hemlata Chitte, et al., 2016) are in support of this present investigation.

Economics : The economic analysis clearly showed the superiority of inorganic fertilizers application than all the organic applied treatments (Table.3). During both the years of study, higher gross income and net income were associated with inorganic fertilizer application followed by combination of seed treatment and soil application of bio fertilizers, PPFM, *neem* cake and sunnhemp incorporation. However higher benefit cost ratio was in the order of T_2 , T_4 , T_6 , T_9 and T_{10} .

REFERENCES

- Amit, M. Pujar and Angadi, V.V. 2017. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributes, yield and production efficiency of cotton and soyabeen intercropping system. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5: 543-47.
- Anonymous, 2017. "AICCIP Annual Report", Central Institute of Cotton Research, Coimbatore
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez K.A. 2010. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. Wiley India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi
- Hemlata Chitte, Anita Chorey and Bharti Tijare 2016. Influence of fertilizer levels and organic nitrification inhibitors on yield, uptake of nutrients in cotton. Internat. J. Curr. Res. Life Sci., 5 : 541-44.
- Hulihalli, U.K. and Patil, V.C. 2017. Yield and Fiber Quality of Cotton as Influenced by Fertilizer Levels and Organic Manure. Ann. Arid Zone 47: 201-04
- Marimuthu, S. and Subbian, P. 2013. Integrated nutrient management on weed dynamics of cotton based cropping systems in south India SAARC J. Agri., **11** : 7-22
- Manchala Santhosh Kumar, Bhoyar, S. M., Deshmukh P. W., Sathyanarayana E and Leena Dajurao Karangami, 2017. Yield and quality of rainfed cotton in response to organic manures under vertisol *Plant Arch.* 17:412-16

- Solaimalai, A., Kannan, R., Irulandi, S. and Murugan, E., 2019. Effect of organic manures on growth parameters, yield attributes, seed cotton yield and quality of cotton under rainfed vertisol condition. Paper presented in the National Seminar on "Prospects of organic farming and enterprises development in 21st century" at Gandhigram Rural Institute, Dindigul. 15-16, March 2019. P 24
- Solunke, P. S. 2011. Influence of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on production of desi cotton under different plant protection measures. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 25 : 42-45.

Received for publication : May 29, 2018 Accepted for publication : March 16, 2020